IN THE HIGH COURT OF KERALA AT ERNAKULAM

PRESENT :

THE HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE V.RAMKUMAR

THURSDAY, THE 1ST MARCH 2007 / 10TH PHALGUNA 1928

Bail Appl..No. 1341 of 2007(R)

CC.260/2003 of J.M.F.C.-II, CHENGANNUR

.....

: PETITIONER/ACCUSED

RADHAMMAL, AGED 50 YEARS,
MALAYIL THOPPIL PUTHENVEEDU, PARIMALAMURI,
KADAPRA VILLAGE, THIRUVALLU TALUK,
PATHANAMTHITTA.

BY ADV. SRI.A.C.DEVY

RESPONDENTS: COMPLAINANT

STATE OF KERALA, REP. BY PUBLIC
PROSECUTOR, HIGH COURT OF KERALA,
ERNAKULAM.

BY PUBLIC PROSECUTOR SRI. JAI GEORGE

THIS BAIL APPLICATION HAVING COME UP FOR ADMISSION ON 01/03/2007, THE COURT ON THE SAME DAY PASSED THE FOLLOWING:

V. RAMKUMAR, J.

Bail Application No. 1341 of 2007

DATED: 01-03-2007

O R D E R

Petitioner who is the accused in C.C. 260 of 2003 on the file of the J.F.C.M-II, Chengannur for offences punishable under Sections 468, 471 & 420 I.P.C. seeks anticipatory bail.

2. Consequent on the non-appearance of the petitioner before the Magistrate in the above case, non-bailable warrants of arrest are pending against the petitioner.

4. Anticipatory bail cannot be granted to nullify the process issued by a court of competent jurisdiction. There is no reason why the petitioner should not surrender before the Magistrate concerned and seek regular bail. Accordingly, if the petitioner surrenders before the Magistrate concerned and files an application for regular bail within two weeks from today, the same shall be considered and disposed of preferably on the same date on which it is filed, after examining the explanation offered by the petitioner for her previous non-appearance.

With the above observation this application is dismissed.

V.RAMKUMAR,
JUDGE.

ani